



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 10**

3 1987 APR

SO-125

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:

MPE Consent Decree

FROM:

Monica Kirk Heri Mr. Heinech (For) Assistant Regional Counsel

TO:

Rick Parkin Mike Matta Jack Fox Jim Nicoll Lee Rees

Attached is a draft consent decree, for your review. The attachment will be developed by Grover Partee.

We can present the Decree to MPE, with the understanding that the Attachment will be forthcoming and if unacceptable, can be mediated as provided in the Decree. Since MPE is anxious to avoid listing problems and EPA Headquarters has agreed not to list MPE if the clean up Consent Decree is signed by April 25, 1987 (within 15 days of the criminal sentencing which automatically lists them), MPE may sign.

I'll be in Washington, D.C. from 3/31-4/6. Please comment by April 8 so we can approach MPE, as appropriate.

28

Form CBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

JACKSON L. FOX Assistant United States Attorney District of Washington 3600 Seafirst Fifth Avenue Plaza Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 442-7970

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

No. C85-382

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

STIPULATION AND

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

CONSENT DECREE

MARINE POWER AND EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., and WFI INDUSTRIES, INC.

٧.

Defendants.

Plaintiff, the United States of America, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), having filed a complaint herein on March 8, 1985, alleging that defendant has violated the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1252 et seq., and the State of Washington (the state) having filed a motion and complaint in intervention on May 23, 1985, and the parties by their attorneys having consented to entry of this Decree;

NOW THEREFORE, before the taking of any testimony herein, and without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and upon

consent of the parties, it is

HEREBY STIPULATED AS FOLLOWS:

I. JURISDICTION

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331, 1345 and 1355; Section 309(b) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(b); the Refuse act, 33 U.S.C. §407; and jurisdiction over the parties hereto. The Complaint filed herein states a claim upon which relief can be granted against the defendants.
- 2. Defendants Marine Power and Equipment Company (MPE) and WFI Industries, Inc. (WFI) are Washington corporations authorized to do business in the state of Washington.
- 3. The provisions of this Consent Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the parties to this action, their officers, directors, servants, employees and successors or assigns.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 4. This action relates to Defendants' ship repair and painting facilities in Seattle, Washington. The facilities are known as the "Duwamish Facility" located on the west bank of the Duwamish River and the "Lake Union Facility" which is located on the north bank of Lake Union at 1441 North Westlake Way.
- 5. At the Duwamish Facility in 1984 and 1985, the defendants discharged pollutants into the Duwamish River, which is navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of 33 U.S.C. §1362(7). The pollutants, consisting of spent sandblasting materials, paint, other debris, and process

wastes, were discharged to the Duwamish River by submerging dry docks on which piles of the debris had accumulated as a result of MPE's sandblasting operations and from hoses or pipes. Upon submersion of the dry docks into water, much of the sandblasting residues and debris washes off the docks into the Duwamish River.

- 6. The sandblasting residue, debris, and process wastes generated by the defendants at the Duwamish Facility include, but are not limited to:
 - a. used sandblasting material;
- b. chips of paint, tar, rust, and other chemical substances sandblasted and removed from the exterior of ships;
- c. solvents and other materials used to prepare the sandblasted surfaces for repainting and refinishing and for other purposes;
- d. spilled liquids used to repaint and refinish the sandblasted surfaces of the ships; and
 - e. grease and oil.
- 7. The materials described in paragraph 6 constitute "pollutants" within the meaning of 33 U.S.C. §1362(6). The dry docks and hoses or pipes used at the Duwamish Facility from which these pollutants are discharged constitute "point sources" within the meaning of 33 U.S.C. §1362(14).
- 8. Some of the discharged pollutants described in paragraph 6 have collected in and on the bottom sediments of th Duwamish River. Based on information and belief, those pollutants in part remain on the river bottom and, in part, have been dispersed into Puget Sound.

- 9. The above-described discharges of pollutants constitute violations of Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1311(a). Accordingly, the defendants are liable for civil penalties pursuant to Section 309 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1319, in an amount not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each calendar day on which defendants discharged pollutants from the Duwamish Facility.
- 10. Defendants' discharges of sandblasting material and other debris from the Duwamish Facility into the Duwamish River constitute a deposit of refuse into a navigable water in violation of the Refuse Act, 33 U.S.C. §407.
- 11. The Duwamish Facility occupies parts of the banks and shorelines adjoining navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of the Refuse Act, 33 U.S.C. §407.
- 12. In addition to depositing refuse in navigable waters, the defendants have deposited at the Duwamish Facility certain refuse, namely sandblasting residues of the same type and composition as those described in paragraph 6. That refuse is currently located upon the east bank of the Duwamish River in such a location as to be susceptible to being washed into the river. Such deposits of materials on the banks violate the Refuse Act, 33 U.S.C. §407.
- 13. At the Lake Union Facility in 1984 and 1985, the defendants discharged pollutants into Lake Union, which is navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of 33 U.S.C. \$1362(7). The pollutants, consisting of spent sandblasting materials, paint, other debris, and process wastes, were discharged to Lake Union by submerging docks on which piles of the debris had accumulated as a result of MPE's sandblasting operations and

from hoses or pipes. Upon submersion of the dry docks into water, much of the sandblasting residues and debris washes off the docks into Lake Union.

- 14. The sandblasting residue, debris, and process wastes generated by the defendants at the Lake Union Facility include, but are not limited to:
 - a. used sandblasting material;
- b. chips of paint, tar, rust and other chemical substances sandblasted and removed from the exterior of ships;
- c. solvents and other materials used to prepare the sandblasted surfaces for repainting and refinishing and for other purposes;
- d. spilled liquids used to repaint and refinish the sandblasted surfaces of the ships; and
 - e. grease and oil.
- 15. The materials described in paragraph 14 constitute "pollutants" within the meaning of 33 U.S.C. §1362(6). The dry docks and hoses or pipes used at the Lake Union Facility from which such pollutants have been and are discharged constitute "point sources" within the meaning of 33 U.S.C. §1362(14).
- 16. Some of the discharged pollutants described in paragraph 14 have collected in and on the bottom sediments of Lake Union.
- 17. The above-described discharges of pollutants constitute violations of Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1311(a). Accordingly, the defendants are liable for civil penalties pursuant to Section 309 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1319, in an amount not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day on which defendants discharged pollutants from the Lake Union Facility.

18. Defendants' discharges of sandblasting material and other debris from the Lake Union Facility into Lake Union constitute a deposit of refuse into a navigable water in violation of the Refuse Act, 33 U.S.C. §407.

19. The Lake Union facility occupies parts of the banks and shorelines adjoining navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of the Refuse Act, 33 U.S.C. §407.

20. In addition to depositing refuse in navigable waters, the defendants have deposited at the Lake Union Facility on the north bank of Lake Union certain refuse, namely sandblasting residues of the same type and composition as those described in paragraph 14. That refuse is currently located upon the north bank of Lake Union in such a location as to be susceptible to being washed into the lake. Such deposits of materials on the banks violate the Refuse Act, 33 U.S.C. §407.

IV. AGREEMENT AND ORDER

Cessation of Unauthorized Discharges

- 21. Defendants are permanently enjoined from discharging pollutants to waters of the United States except in compliance with a permit issued by the State of Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) pursuant to Section 402 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1342.
- 22. Defendants shall carry out all of the measures specified in the attached document entitled "Mitigation Requirements," which is Attachment 1 to this Decree and by this reference incorporated herein.

 Attachment 1 generally calls for the removal from the bottom of the Duwamish

River and Lake Union all of the pollutants discharged by the Defendants which remain on the river and lake bottom. One of the mitigation requirements set forth in Attachment 1 is that Defendants shall periodically submit reports to Plaintiffs demonstrating that the measures carried out meet the specified performance standards. If Plaintiffs deem that such standards have not been satisfied, they shall inform Defendants of such additional measures as they deem necessary to carry out these performance standards. If the parties do not agree as to the need for additional mitigation measures, then the parties shall resolve such disagreement through the dispute resolution procedures specified in paragraph 23 of this Decree.

23. Plaintiffs will inform Defendants of any modifications they propose to the mitigation plan or report described in paragraph 22. Plaintiffs will also inform Defendants of any measures they determine to be necessary to meet the mitigation performance standards specified in Attachment 1 and paragraph 22 above. Defendants will perform those additional measures, and incorporate those modifications, with which it agrees. If Defendants do not agree to such modifications or additional measures as proposed by Plaintiffs, then the parties shall confer in an effort to resolve the matter informally. If the parties cannot reach an amicable resolution of the issue, any party may file a petition with the Court setting forth the matter in dispute and requesting a hearing thereon and appropriate relief. The procedure described in this paragraph shall be the exclusive procedure, other than by mutual agreement among the parties, for resolving disputes on the contents of the reports and plans to be submitted pursuant to paragraph 22 above or on the need for measures to meet the mitigation performance standards specified in Attachment 1.

Designated Project Coordinators

24. All reports, plans, approvals, disapprovals and other documents to be submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent to designated Project Coordinators. Within ten days of entry of this Decree, EPA, the WDOE and the Defendants shall each designate one Project Coordinator, and shall inform the other parties of that designation. To the maximum extent possible, all communications and correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to this Decree shall be directed through the Project Coordinators.

Access

25. Defendants shall allow any authorized representative of EPA or the state, upon presentation of his or her credentials, to enter upon any property that is the subject of this action to monitor compliance with this Decree. The authority of the United States and the state to enter, conduct inspections, have access to records, or monitor compliance pursuant to any statute or court order is in no way limited by this Decree.

Force Majeure

26. If any event occurs which causes delay in the achievement of the requirements of this Decree, Defendants shall promptly notify the EPA, the state and the Project Coordinators orally and shall, within seven (7) days of such oral notification, notify EPA and the state in writing of the anticipated length and cause of the delay, the measures taken and to be taken by Defendants to prevent and minimize the delay, and the timetable by which Defendants intend to implement these measures. If Defendants demonstrate that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by circumstances beyond their control and despite their due diligence, the

11.

increased costs for performance of the terms and conditions of this Decree nor changed economic circumstances may be considered circumstances beyond Defendant's control. Defendants' failure to supply the information required above within seven days of the oral notification shall constitute a waiver of such claim.

Stipulated Penalties for Future Violations

time for performance hereunder shall be excused or extended for a period

equal to the delay resulting from such circumstances. However, neither

27. Unless excused by the provisions of paragraph 26, Defendant's shall incur, and pay within ten (10) days of receipt of written demand by the United States, the following stipulated penalties for the enumerated types of violations of this Decree:

	Requirement	Amount per Day
Α.	Submit a document, report or plan as required by paragraph 22	\$ 500.00
В.	Complete mitigation measures by the dates specified in paragraph 22 or by Attachment 1	\$ 2,000.00
c.	Refrain from further unauthorized discharges of pollutants as required by paragraph 21	\$ 5,000.00

- 28. Stipulated penalties made payable pursuant to paragraph 27 shall be paid by cashier's or certified check made payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America," and delivered to the office of United States Attorney, 3600 Seafirst Fifth Avenue Plaza, Seattle, Washington 98104.
- 29. Any dispute with respect to defendant's liability for a stipulated penalty shall be resolved by this Court.

 30. The provisions of paragraphs 27-29 shall not be construed to limit any other remedies, including but not limited to institution of proceedings for civil or criminal contempt, available to Plaintiffs for violations of this Consent Decree or any other provision of law.

General Provisions

- 31. This Decree in no way relieves defendant of responsibility to comply with any federal, state or local law or regulation. In particular, but without limitation, defendant shall obtain all necessary permits under federal and state law prior to carrying out mitigation under this Decree.
- 32. Any modifications to this Decree must be in writing and approved by this Court, except extension of deadlines as provided herein.
- 33. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this case for the purpose of enabling any party to apply to the Court at any time for such further relief as may be appropriate to interpret, enforce, modify or terminate this Decree. Otherwise, the obligations imposed by this decree shall terminate on December 31, 1988, except that the United States may take action after that time to demand and collect stipulated penalties for violations that occur during the pendency of the Decree.
- 35. Each party in this action shall bear its own costs, including attorney's fees.

ENTERED this	day of	, 1987.
	United 9	States District Judge

1 FOR PLAINTIFFS: FOR DEFENDANTS: 2 3 4 F. HENRY HABICHT, II Assistant Attorney General Marine Power and Equipment Co., Inc. 5 Land & Natural Resources Div. U.S. Department of Justice 6 **GENE ANDERSON** 7 United States Attorney WFI Industries, Inc. 8 9 JACKSON FOX Assistant United States Attorney 10 11 12 JAMES L. NICOLL Assistant Attorney General 13 Land & Natural Resources Div. U.S. Department of Justice 14 15 16 THOMAS A. ADAMS Assistant Administrator for 17 Enforcement and Compliance Monitoring U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 18 19 20 MONICA KIRK Assistant Regional Counsel 21 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 22 KEN EIKENBERRY 23 Attorney General State of Washington 24 25 26 LEE REESE Deputy Attorney General 27

Form OBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

28

- 1. Not later than July 15, 1987, Marine Power and Equipment (MPE) shall establish, based on soundings and/or other methods, the physical extent of the deposits at both the Lake Union and Duwamish sites. MPE shall provide to EPA charts of both sites showing the areas covered by the deposited materials to a depth of 1 inch or more and indicating topographically the probable depths throughout such areas.
- 2. Not later than August 15, 1987, MPE shall establish, based on corings and/or other methods, the physical and chemical characteristics of the deposited materials as those characteristics relate to the efficacy of various removal methods (including "open bucket" dredging, vaccuum dredging, the necessity and utility of silt curtains, etc.) and of various disposal methods including landfilling (eg: at a county landfill).
- 3. Not later than September 15, 1987, MPE shall evaluate various methods of removal and disposal. Not later than October 15, 1987, MPE shall identify the methods to be employed and shall prepare and submit to EPA a removal and disposal plan which reflects the findings of the foregoing evaluations. Said plan shall (1) assure not less than 90% removal of the deposited materials, (2) identify all necessary permits or approvals needed to commence the work, and (3) include a detailed time schedule for completion of removal and disposal commencing not later than May 1, 1988. Sufficient copies of the plan shall be provided to allow distribution to all

federal, state and local agencies from which permits or other such authorizations must be obtained.

- 4. Not later than 30 days after approval of the plan by EPA, MPE shall submit complete applications for any necessary permits (eg: CWA Section 404 Dredge and Fill permits, Washington Department of Fisheries Hydraulic Improvement permits, shoreline management permits, county/local disposal permits, etc.)
- 5. Not later than May 1, 1988, MPE shall initiate removal and disposal of the deposited material in accordance with the approved plan.
- 6. Not later than November 1, 1988, MPE shall complete all removal and disposal activities set forth in the approved plan.
- 7. Not later than January 1, 1989, MPE shall conduct a survey of both sites to assure that the basic intent of the plan (not less than 90% removal of the deposited materials) has been met. A full report on the removal and disposal of the deposited materials, including the results of this survey shall be provided to EPA not later than January 15, 1989.

NOTE: Required dates for items 5, 6 and 7 may be amended based upon the schedule to be set forth in the approved plan of removal and disposal or upon requirements imposed through federal, state or local permits issued for such work.